

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/845,108	04/26/2001	Arthur Tauber	CECOM 5469	1631
7	590 04/30/2002			
U.S. Army Communications-Electronics Command			EXAMINER BOS, STEVEN J	
ATTN: AMSEL-LG-L (George B. Tereschuk, Esq.) Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1754	
		DATE MAILED: 04/30/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/845,108

Applicant(s)

Tauber et al

Examiner

Steven Bos

Art Unit 1754

_	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears o	n the cover sheet with the correspondence address
Period fo	or Reply	MONTU(C) FROM
THE N	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET THE TOTAL TO SET THE TOTAL TO SET THE SET TH	
- Exten	sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI	R 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed tion.
- If the	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days,	a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (00) days will
- If NO	period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p	eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the
- Failur - Anv r	عنظ الثيمر براضمه همة فيمنت بالماهات بالماء الماء	statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
Status	·	
1) 🗆	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This acti	
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance e closed in accordance with the practice under Ex par	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is refer to Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposi	tion of Claims	
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-79</u>	is/are pending in the application.
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
	Claim(s)	
6) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	
8) 💢	Claims 1-79	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement
Applica	ition Papers	
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	objected to by the Examiner.
11)□	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b) disapproved.
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
13)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:	
	1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have	
	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have	
*0	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority d application from the International Bure see the attached detailed Office action for a list of th	ocuments have been received in this National Stage eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). he certified copies not received.
14) 🗆	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	
·		
Attachn		18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		18) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	20) Other:
17) 📙 1	Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	201-

Art Unit: 1754

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-4,6,7,9,10,12,13,15,16,18,19,21,22,24,25,27,28,30,31,33,34,36,37,39,
 40,42,43,50, drawn to a rare earth compound, classified in class 423, subclass 263.
- II. Claims 5,8,11,14,17,20,23,26,29,32,35,38,41,44,51-79, drawn to a thin film structure of a plural metal oxide, classified in class 428, subclass 697.
- III. Claims 45-47, drawn to a superconductor, classified in class 505, subclass 100+.
- IV. Claims 48, drawn to an antenna, classified in class 343, subclass 772.
- V. Claim 49, drawn to a Josephson junction, classified in class 505, subclass 190.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions Group I and Group (II, III, IV or V) are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as a substance for a piezoelectric device and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either

Art Unit: 1754

instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Inventions Group II and Group (III, IV or V) are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as a magnetic shield device and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Inventions Group III and Group IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are not capable of use together.

Inventions Group III and Group V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of

Art Unit: 1754

operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are not capable of use together.

Inventions Group IV and Group V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are not capable of use together.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven Bos whose telephone number is (703) 308-2537. The examiner is on

Art Unit: 1754

the increased flexitime program schedule. The FAX No. for After Final amendments is 703-872-9311; for all others it is 703-872-9310.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Steven Bos

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1754